IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 942 of 2007

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE ABHILASHA KUMARI

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

JANAKPURI CO-OP.HOUSING SOCI. LTD., PART -2 - Petitioner
Versus
TORRENT POWER AEC LTD. & 1 - Respondents

==========

Appearance :
MR DHIRAJ M PATEL for Petitioner.
NOTICE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent(s) : 1 - 2.
NOTICE SERVED BY DS for Respondent(s) : 1 - 2.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL
and
HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE ABHILASHA KUMARI

Date : 08/02/2007

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL)

Rule. The endorsement on the Board indicates that both the respondents are duly served, but, none of them has appeared, either through its Lawyer or through its constituted agent, nor any of them has filed reply controverting the averments made in the petition. Therefore, this Court is of the opinion that it is not necessary for the petitioner to effect service of notice of rule issued in the instant petition upon the respondents. Having regard to the facts of the case, the petition is taken up for final disposal today.

2. By filing the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution, the petitioner i.e. Lalit Mangilal Jain, who is Secretary of Janakpuri Cooperative Housing Society Ltd. Part-2, has prayed to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order directing the respondent No.1 i.e. Torrent Power AEC Ltd., to provide 14 electricity connections/services to the residential Units constructed by him. The

petitioner has further prayed to direct the respondent No.2 i.e. Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority to issue Building Use Permission to the petitioner in respect of the Units constructed by him.

3. The petitioner i.e. Mr. Lalit Mangilal Jain is the Secretary of Janakpuri Co.op.Housing Society Ltd.Part-2. Revenue Survey No.57 of Final Plot No.145/1, T.P.Scheme No.Bodakdev-50 of village Bodakdev, Taluka : Dascroi, District : Ahmedabad, belonged to the said Society. The Chairman/Secretary of the Society were desirous of developing the land. Therefore, they applied to Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority for grant of development permission. Accordingly, development permission was granted on November 10, 2004, which is quite evident from the contents of the document produced by the petitioner at Annexure-A to the petition. The claim of the petitioner is that pursuant to the said development permission, 23 residential Units

were constructed by him. After the construction of the residential Units was over, it was the duty of the petitioner to obtain Building Use Permission and thereafter to apply to the respondent No.1 for supply of electricity connections to the Units constructed by him. However, without obtaining Building Use Permission, the petitioner applied to the respondent No.1 for grant of electricity supply to the Units constructed by him. The said request has been turned down by the respondent No.1, which is quite evident from the contents of communication dated August 29, 2006 addressed by the General Manager of the respondent No.1 to the petitioner, a copy of which is produced at Annexure-B to the petition. By the said communication, the petitioner has been called upon to produce either Building Use Permission or conditional order of the High Court to enable the respondent No.1 to supply electricity connections to the Units constructed by him. Under the circumstances, the petitioner has filed the

instant petition and claimed the reliefs to which reference is made earlier.

4. The petition was placed for admission hearing before the Court on January 11, 2007 and after hearing the learned Counsel for the petitioner, notice for final disposal of the petition was issued. As observed earlier, both the respondents are duly served, but, none of them has appeared before the Court, nor contested the petition.

5. This Court has heard Mr.Dhiraj M.Patel, learned Counsel for the petitioner and considered the documents forming part of the petition. Having regard to the facts of the case, this Court is of the opinion that interest of justice would be served if following directions are issued:

(1) The petitioner will approach the Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority for obtaining Building Use Permission within thirty days from today with a proper application/form/format and by paying requisite fee, if any.

(2) The Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority is directed to take decision on the application, which may be submitted by the petitioner, within four months from the date of receipt of the same.

(3) The petitioner shall apply to the respondent No.1., i.e. Torrent Power AEC Limited, for providing electricity connection to 14 residential Units constructed by him with a proper application/form/format and by paying charges within a week.

(4) The respondent No.1, i.e. Torrent Power AEC Limited, is directed to provide electricity connection to 14 residential Units constructed by the petitioner within one month on usual terms and conditions.

(5) In case the Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority is not approached by the petitioner for obtaining Building Use Permission within the period stipulated in this order, the Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority shall inform the Torrent Power AEC Limited to disconnect the electricity supply made available to 14 residential Units constructed by the petitioner and in such an eventuality, it would be open to the Torrent Power AEC Limited to disconnect the electricity supply provided to the residential units constructed by the

petitioner within a period of fifteen days.

(6) In case the Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority does not grant Building Use Permission, it will ask the Torrent Power AEC Limited to disconnect the electricity supply provided to 14 residential Units constructed by the petitioner within a period of fifteen days and, thereupon, it would be open to the Torrent Power AEC Limited to disconnect the electricity supply provided to 14 residential Units constructed by the petitioner.

(7) The petitioner shall file an Undertaking before the Torrent Power AEC Limited to the effect that in the event the Building Use Permission is not granted by the Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority, the petitioner will have no objection to disconnection of electricity supply provided to 14 residential Units constructed by him. This Undertaking shall be filed along with the application/form/format meant for the purpose.

Rule is made absolute subject to above referred to directions. There shall be no order as to costs. Direct Service is permitted.

[J.M.PANCHAL,J.] [SMT.ABHILASHA KUMARI,J.]